

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,513	06/23/2005	Takenori Osada	Q88662 6641	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 10/15/2007 ION PLLC		EXAM	INER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			RODELA, EDUARDO A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WINDIMINGIC			2826	
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office A - 4:		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/540,513	OSADA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eduardo A. Rodela	2826				
Pe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2007.						
L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.	•				
	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		secution as to the merits is				
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
			•				
Attachment(s)							
- 1	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) ˈ 3) ˈ		5) Notice of Informal P					
•	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/540,513

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the After Final Amendment filed September 13, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in Sawada et al. (US 5,404,032) view of Kuroda et al. (US 5,831,296).

Regarding claim 1, Sawada et al. shows (e.g. Figure 4) a compound semiconductor epitaxial substrate for use in a strain channel high electron mobility field effect transistor, comprising an InGaAs layer [21] as a strain channel layer and an AlGaAs layer [5] containing n-type impurities as an electron supplying layer.

wherein GaAs layers [2,22] having a thickness of 4 nm of more [column 4: lines 55-56, 61-63] each are laminated respectively in contact with a top surface [shown] and a bottom surface [shown] of said strain channel layer [21]. Sawada does not disclose wherein said InGaAs layer has an electron mobility at room temperature of 8300 cm² / V*s or more. Kuroda shows wherein said InGaAs layer has an electron mobility at room temperature of 8300 cm² / V*s or more [column 5, lines 5-17]. Kuroda states that In_YGa_{1-Y}As will have at least a value of between 8500 and 33000, especially if y<0.3 [column 5: lines 5-17], which Sawada does show [column 4: lines 55-60]. Therefore it

Application/Control Number: 10/540,513

Art Unit: 2826

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the semiconductor layer of Sawada would have the electron mobility specified by Kuroda, as it is known in the art that the specified material could have an electron mobility at room temperature of 8300 cm² / V*s or more with the required y value.

Regarding claim 2, although not shown by Sawada, it is clearly shown by Kuroda et al. that it is possible and evident that the compound semiconductor epitaxial substrate according to claim 1, as shown in Figure 3a, wherein the InGaAs layer constituting said strain channel layer [24] has an Indium composition of 0.25 or more [column 5, lines 5-17].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 2 and 3, filed September 13, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under Kuroda have been fully considered and are persuasive. Specifically, the AlGaAs layer [layer 3 of Figure 3a] of Kuroda is not specifically a GaAs layer, which is only GaAs as the claim language requires. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Sawada in view of Kuroda.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/540,513

Art Unit: 2826

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Fax / Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eduardo A. Rodela whose telephone number is (571) 272-8797. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on (571) 272-1236. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eduardo A Rodela

Art Unit: 2826

Examiner Art Unit 2826

E.A.R.

SUE A. PURVIS